$$\overline{X} = \frac{X_1 + X_2 \cdot \cdot \cdot + X_n}{n}$$

and the variance is calculated by:

$$s^{2} = \frac{\left(X_{1} - \overline{X}\right)^{2} + \left(X_{2} - \overline{X}\right)^{2} \dots + \left(X_{n} - \overline{X}\right)^{2}}{n - 1}$$

where "n" denotes the number of observations in the set of data.

The t-test uses these data summary measures to calculate a t-statistic (t\*) and a comparison t-statistic (t<sub>c</sub>). The t\* value is compared to the t<sub>c</sub> value and a conclusion reached as to whether there has been a statistically significant change in any indicator parameter.

The t-statistic for all parameters except pH and similar monitoring parameters is:

$$t* = \frac{X_{m} - \overline{X}_{s}}{\sqrt{\frac{{S_{m}}^{2}}{n_{m}} + \frac{{S_{b}}^{2}}{n_{b}}}}$$

If the value of this t-statistic is negative then there is no significant difference between the monitoring data and background data. It should be noted that significantly small negative values may be indicative of a failure of the assumption made for test validity or errors have been made in collecting the background data.

The t-statistic ( $t_c$ ), against which t\* will be compared, necessitates finding  $t_b$  and  $t_m$  from standard (one-tailed) tables where,

 $t_b$ =t-tables with  $(n_b-1)$  degrees of freedom, at the 0.05 level of significance.

 $t_m$ =t-tables with  $(n_m-1)$  degrees of freedom, at the 0.05 level of significance.

Finally, the special weightings  $W_{\text{b}}$  and  $W_{\text{m}}$  are defined as:

$$W_B = \frac{s_{b^2}}{n_b}$$
 and  $W_m = \frac{s_{m^2}}{n_m}$ 

and so the comparison t-statistic is:

$$t_c = \frac{W_b t_b + W_m t_m}{W_b + W_m}$$

The t-statistic (t\*) is now compared with the comparison t-statistic (t<sub>c</sub>) using the following decision-rule:

If  $t^*$  is equal to or larger than  $t_c$ , then conclude that there most likely has been a significant increase in this specific parameter. If  $t^*$  is less than  $t_c$ , then conclude that most likely there has not been a change in this specific parameter.

The t-statistic for testing pH and similar monitoring parameters is constructed in the

same manner as previously described except the negative sign (if any) is discarded and the caveat concerning the negative value is ignored. The standard (two-tailed) tables are used in the construction  $t_{\rm c}$  for pH and similar monitoring parameters.

If t\* is equal to or larger than  $t_c$ , then conclude that there most likely has been a significant increase (if the initial t\* had been negative, this would imply a significant decrease). If t\* is less than  $t_c$ , then conclude that there most likely has been no change.

A further discussion of the test may be found in *Statistical Methods* (6th Edition, Section 4.14) by G. W. Snedecor and W. G. Cochran, or *Principles and Procedures of Statistics* (1st Edition, Section 5.8) by R. G. D. Steel and J. H. Torrie.

STANDARD T—TABLES 0.05 LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

Degrees of freedom	t-values (one-tail)	t-values (two-tail)
1	6.314	12.706
2	2.920	4.303
3	2.353	3.182
4	2.132	2.776
5	2.015	2.571
6	1.943	2.447
7	1.895	2.365
8	1.860	2.306
9	1.833	2.262
10	1.812	2.228
11	1.796	2.201
12	1.782	2.179
13	1.771	2.160
14	1.761	2.145
15	1.753	2.131
16	1.746	2.120
17	1.740	2.110
18	1.734	2.101
19	1.729	2.093
20	1.725	2.086
21	1.721	2.080
22	1.717	2.074
23	1.714	2.069
24	1.711	2.064
25	1.708	2.060
30	1.697	2.042
40	1.684	2.021

Adopted from Table III of "Statistical Tables for Biological, Agricultural, and Medical Research" (1947, R. A. Fisher and F. Yates).

 $[47~{\rm FR}~32367,~{\rm July}~26,~1982]$ 

# APPENDIX V TO PART 264—EXAMPLES OF POTENTIALLY INCOMPATIBLE WASTE

Many hazardous wastes, when mixed with other waste or materials at a hazardous waste facility, can produce effects which are harmful to human health and the environment, such as (1) heat or pressure, (2) fire or explosion, (3) violent reaction, (4) toxic dusts, mists, fumes, or gases, or (5) flammable fumes or gases.

## Pt. 264, App. V

## **Environmental Protection Agency**

Below are examples of potentially incompatible wastes, waste components, and materials, along with the harmful consequences which result from mixing materials in one group with materials in another group. The list is intended as a guide to owners or operators of treatment, storage, and disposal facilities, and to enforcement and permit granting officials, to indicate the need for special precautions when managing these potentially incompatible waste materials or components.

This list is not intended to be exhaustive. An owner or operator must, as the regulations require, adequately analyze his wastes so that he can avoid creating uncontrolled substances or reactions of the type listed below, whether they are listed below or not.

It is possible for potentially incompatible wastes to be mixed in a way that precludes a reaction (e.g., adding acid to water rather than water to acid) or that neutralizes them (e.g., a strong acid mixed with a strong base), or that controls substances produced (e.g., by generating flammable gases in a closed tank equipped so that ignition cannot occur, and burning the gases in an incinerator).

In the lists below, the mixing of a Group A material with a Group B material may have the potential consequence as noted.

#### GROUP 1-A

Acetylene sludge Alkaline caustic liquids Alkaline cleaner Alkaline corrosive liquids Alkaline corrosive battery fluid Caustic wastewater Lime sludge and other corrosive alkalies Lime wastewater Lime and water Spent caustic

#### GROUP 1-B

Acid sludge Acid and water Battery acid Chemical cleaners Electrolyte, acid Etching acid liquid or solvent Pickling liquor and other corrosive acids

Spent acid

Spent mixed acid Spent sulfuric acid

Potential consequences: Heat generation; violent reaction.

#### GROUP 2-A

Aluminum Beryllium Calcium Lithium Magnesium Potassium Sodium

Zinc powder Other reactive metals and metal hydrides

#### GROUP 2-B

Any waste in Group 1-A or

Potential consequences: Fire or explosion; generation of flammable hydrogen gas.

#### GROUP 3-A

Alcohols Water

#### GROUP 3-B

Any concentrated waste in Groups 1-A or 1-Calcium Lithium

Metal hydrides Potassium

SO<sub>2</sub> Cl<sub>2</sub>, SOCl<sub>2</sub>, PCl<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub> SiCl<sub>3</sub>

Other water-reactive waste

Potential consequences: Fire, explosion, or heat generation; generation of flammable or toxic gases.

#### GROUP 4-A

Alcohols Aldehydes Halogenated hydrocarbons Nitrated hydrocarbons Unsaturated hydrocarbons Other reactive organic compounds and solvents

#### GROUP 4-B

Concentrated Group 1-A or 1-B wastes Group 2-A wastes Potential consequences: Fire, explosion, or violent reaction.

#### GROUP 5-A

Spent cyanide and sulfide solutions

#### GROUP 5-B

Group 1-B wastes

Chlorates

Potential consequences: Generation of toxic hydrogen cyanide or hydrogen sulfide gas.

## GROUP 6-A

Chlorine Chlorites Chromic acid Hypochlorites Nitrates Nitric acid, fuming Perchlorates Permanganates Peroxides Other strong oxidizers

#### GROUP 6-B

Acetic acid and other organic acids

# Pt. 264, App. VI

# 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-08 Edition)

Concentrated mineral acids Group 2-A wastes Group 4-A wastes Other flammable and combustible wastes Potential consequences: Fire, explosion, or violent reaction. SOURCE: "Law, Regulations, and Guidelines for Handling of Hazardous Waste." California Department of Health, February 1975.		Lake Lewis and Clark Madison Meagher Missoula Park Powell No	Sanders Silver Bow Stillwater Sweet Grass Teton Wheatland	
[46 FR 2872, Jan. 12, 1981]				
JURISDICTIONS ANCE WITH § 26 ONSTRATED	PART 264—POLITICAL  1 IN WHICH COMPLI- 64.18(a) MUST BE DEM- LASKA  Kodiak	Bernalillo Catron Grant Hidalgo Los Alamos Rio Arriba Sandoval	Sante Fe Sierra Socorro Taos Torrance Valencia	
Bethel	Lynn Canal-Icy Straits	UTAH		
Bristol Bay Cordova-Valdez Fairbanks-Fort Yukon Juneau Kenai-Cook Inlet Ketchikan-Prince of Wales	Palmer-Wasilla- Talkeena Seward Sitka Wade Hampton	Beaver Box Elder Cache Carbon Davis Duchesne Emery Garfield	Piute Rich Salt Lake Sanpete Sevier Summit Tooele Utah	
ARIZONA		Iron	Wasatch	
Cochise Graham	Greenlee Yuma	Juab Millard Morgan	Washington Wayne Weber	
CALIFORNIA		Was	Washington	
All	I ODADO	Chelan Clallam	Mason Okanogan	
COLORADO		Clark	Pacific	
Archuleta Conejos Hinsdale	Mineral Rio Grande Saguache	Cowlitz Douglas Ferry	Pierce San Juan Islands Skagit	
HAWAII Grays Haroor Snohomi		Skamania Snohomish Thurston		
IDAHO		Kitsap	Wahkiakum	
Bannock Bear Lake	Franklin Fremont	Kittitas Lewis	Whatcom Yakima	
Bingham Bonneville	Jefferson Madison	WYOMING		
Caribou	Oneida	Fremont	Teton	
Cassia	Power	Lincoln	Uinta Vallamatana National	
Clark	Teton	Park Yellowstone National Sublette Park		
MONTANA [46 FR 57285, Nov. 23, 1981; 47 FR 953, Ja:		s, 1981; 47 FR 953, Jan. 8,		
Beaverhead	Flathead	1982]	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Gallatin

Granite

Jefferson

Broadwater

Deer Lodge

Cascade

election districts, and, in the case of Hawaii, the political jurisdiction listed is the island of Hawaii.

APPENDIXES VII-VIII TO PART 264

[Reserved]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These include counties, city-county consolidations, and independent cities. In the case of Alaska, the political jurisdictions are